

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,195	AALTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABDELNABI O. MUSA	2446	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ABDELNABI O. MUSA.

(3) Pwu, Jeffrey.

(2) Robert Bauer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-47.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6721,333 B1; and 7,136,377 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Abdelnabi O Musa/
Examiner, Art Unit 2446



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided discussed independent claims, and explained the invention related to the claims whereas a discussion was made regarding the Tweedly and Milton references in view of the claims. It is undecided to where information from said compressed header section, and wherein said coded information is left unchanged in said routing and forwarding steps is in the references cited Examiners explained that further search and/or consideration will be required.